

Birth weight and risk of adiposity among adult Inuit in Greenland

Pernille Falberg Rønn¹, Lærke Steenberg Smith¹, Gregers Stig Andersen¹, Bendix Carstensen¹,
Peter Bjerregaard², Marit Eika Jørgensen¹

¹Steno Diabetes Center, Gentofte, Denmark

²National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark

Word count: xx (excluding abstract), abstract: xx words

Tables: xx

Figures: xx

Correspondence:

Running head (max 50 characters):

Abbreviations:

1 **ABSTRACT (max 300 words)**

2 **Background:** The Inuit population in Greenland has undergone rapid socioeconomic and
 3 nutritional changes simultaneously with an increasing prevalence of obesity. Fetal programming
 4 has been suggested as part of the aetiology. To our knowledge, the impact of birth weight on
 5 development of adiposity has never been addressed in Arctic populations.

6 **Objective:** To examine the association between birth weight and measures of body composition
 7 and fat distribution in adulthood among the Inuit in Greenland.

8 **Design:** The study was based on cross-sectional data from a total of 1,473 adults aged 18-61
 9 years ¹⁻⁴ within two population-based surveys conducted in Greenland between 1999-2001 and
 10 2005-2010. Information on weight at birth was collected from birth records. Besides
 11 anthropometric measures of adiposity, fat mass index (FMI) and fat-free mass index (FFMI)
 12 were assessed by bio impedance. Visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) was
 13 estimated by ultrasound. The associations ^{of this to birth weight} were examined by linear regression analyses ^{were} and ^{were}
 14 quadratic spline graphs. Analyses ^{were} stratified by sex, and adjusted for age, birthplace, ancestry
 15 and family history of obesity.

16 **Results:** Spline analyses showed linear relations between birth weight and adult adiposity. In
 17 multiple regression analyses birth weight was found to be positively associated with BMI, waist
 18 circumference, FMI, FFMI and SAT with weaker associations among women than men. An
 19 inverse association between birth weight and VAT appeared for men after adjustment for overall
 20 abdominal adiposity with an increase in VAT of -4,1 % (95% CI: -7,3;-0,9) per 1-kg increment
 21 in birth weight.

22 **Conclusions:** Findings suggest that higher birth weight is associated with adiposity in adulthood.
 23 However, among men, low birth weight seems to increase the risk for accumulation of VAT

possibility

decimal separator er "." (p.m.k.d.m.!))

24 when overall abdominal adiposity is taken into account, indicating a more adverse metabolic risk
25 profile.

26

27 INTRODUCTION

28 The Inuit population in Greenland has undergone rapid social, nutritional and health transitions
29 during the last decades, and especially high levels of obesity has been observed among the Inuit,
30 as well as type 2 diabetes and ischemic heart disease (1,2).

31 Globally, changes in lifestyle behaviours, as a result of transition and urbanisation patterns may
32 play an important role in explaining the observed increase in obesity prevalence and related co-
33 morbidities (3), but also factors in intrauterine life ^{have been} ~~are~~ proposed to contribute significantly. The
34 fetal origins hypothesis has been used to explain the role of fetal growth in the development of
35 later chronic disease (4,5) by postulating a mismatch between intrauterine and adult life
36 environments (6). Birth weight has been widely studied as a proxy of fetal growth and especially
37 low birth weight has been linked to intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and adverse health
38 outcomes including obesity (7,8). Despite an extensive research suggesting a link between birth
39 weight and subsequent obesity, the relevant mechanisms in humans are still unclear and studies
40 are not consistent in their findings with both linear, J- and U-shaped relations (4).

41

42 Positive associations has been established between birth weight and adult body mass index
43 (BMI) (9). However, it is well-recognized that the use of BMI in studies is connected with
44 several limitations. For instance BMI fails to differentiate between fat and lean mass, and does
45 not reflect body fat distribution (10). Since the body composition and distribution of fat are

important for the development of cardiometabolic disease (REF), research focusing on the relation between birth size and measures of body composition and fat distribution may be important. Several studies have consistently demonstrated positive associations between birth weight and fat-free mass in adults (11–16), indicating that the relation between birth weight and adult obesity rather is explained by an increase in fat-free mass than fat mass. Studies investigating measures of fat mass and fat-free mass are, however, contradictory in their results (17).

Furthermore, less is known about the relation between birth weight and accumulation of visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous abdominal tissues (SAT) in adult life. A better understanding of the development of these tissues is important because excessive VAT has shown to be strongly associated with metabolic disturbances and related to insulin resistance (18), whereas SAT may have independent anti-atherogenic effects and other protective properties (19).

Comment [PR1]: skal evt. udspecificeres

The association between birth weight and adult fat distribution has only been investigated to a limited extent and mostly assessed by measuring waist circumference and skinfolds (12,13,20). More accurate measures of abdominal fat tissues such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), or ultrasonography can help clarify the relation between birth weight and subsequent obesity, however, only few previous studies have used those techniques (21–23). Ultrasonography has been validated against the reference methods MRI and CT in large-scale studies, where these techniques are not feasible (24).

The role of birth weight in the development of obesity has to our knowledge never been studied in Greenland or in other Arctic populations. The aim of our study was therefore to investigate the

relations between birth weight and adult body composition and fat distribution measured by ultrasonography among the adult Inuit population in Greenland.

69

70 SUBJECTS AND METHODS

71 Study population

72 The study was based on cross-sectional data from two population-based surveys conducted in
 73 Greenland between 1999-2001 and 2005-2010. Participants were selected through a random
 74 sample of adult Inuit in Greenland (aged 18+ years). For both studies, participants were recruited
 75 to fill out self-administered questionnaires and/or personal face-to-face interviews, and in
 76 ~~continuation hereof requested to participate~~ in a clinical examination. Informed consent was
 77 obtained from participants during investigation, and the studies were approved by the ethical
 78 review committee of Greenland. The study methods are described in detail elsewhere (25,26).
 79 From a total of 4,221 participants who went through clinical examinations, we excluded 37
 80 individuals in the two studies with another ethnicity than Greenlandic. Moreover, we excluded
 81 individuals born before 1950, as birth weight before this year was not systematically registered
 82 (n=6 individuals). Participants with no information on birth weight (n=1,669) were excluded and
 83 50 individuals were excluded due to missing values on one or more of selected covariates.
 84 Subsequently, the remaining 1,473 individuals constituted the study population of the present
 85 study, which corresponds 47.7 % of participants born after 1950.

86 Measurements

87 Information on birth weight (kg) was gathered from medical records at hospitals for all the
 88 participants where journals were accessible. This included birth records, midwife records and

$$\begin{array}{r}
 4421 \\
 - 37 \\
 - 6 \\
 - 1669 \\
 - 50 \\
 \hline
 2659 \neq 1473!!
 \end{array}
 \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} 1762$$

89 outpatient records of participants, and for the latest years information from the birth register of
90 the general practitioner/chief medical officer.

91

92 The questionnaires used as survey instruments for personal interviews and self-administered
93 questionnaires were available in Greenlandic and Danish. A trained research team performed all
94 clinical measurements.

95

96 *Anthropometric measures.* Weight (kg) and height (cm) were measured with the participants
97 wearing underwear and socks. Waist circumference (cm) was measured midway between the rib
98 cage and the iliac crest on the standing participant. BMI was calculated as weight divided by
99 height squared (kg/m^2).

100

101 *Ultrasonography.* Measures of intra-abdominal tissue divided into SAT and VAT, were assessed
102 by ultrasonography performed by a portable ultrasound scanner (Pie Medical) using a 3.5 MHz
103 transducer with the participant in supine position and at the end of a normal expiration. The
104 distances between the posterior edge of the abdominal muscles and the lumbar spine was
105 measured using electronic calipers. Both measurements were obtained from where the xiphoid
106 line and the waist circumference met. Distances were measured from three different angles:
107 medial, 10 cm left and 10 cm right lateral. VAT was defined as the depth (cm) from the
108 peritoneum to the lumbar spine and SAT (cm) was defined as the depth from the skin to the linea
109 alba (25).

110

111 *Bio impedance.* Bio impedance and calculation of fat mass were performed on a leg-to-leg Tanita
 112 TBF-300MA (Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Fat mass was calculated as the total weight of
 113 fat from the internal algorithm of the device based on height, weight, sex, impedance and age
 114 (25). Fat-free mass (kg) was calculated as the total body mass minus fat mass. FMI (kg/m^2) was
 115 calculated as fat mass divided by height squared and FFMI (kg/m^2) was calculated as fat-free
 116 mass divided by height squared.

117
 118 *Additional covariates.* Information on sex and age was retrieved from the central personal
 119 register (CPR), while birth place, ancestry, and family history of obesity were collected from *the*
 120 survey questionnaires. Birth place was categorized *m* as follows: *1)* town *and* *2)* village. Ancestry
 121 was based on questions on ethnicity of the four grandparents, and if this information was
 122 missing, of the parents. The variable was subsequently recoded as *1)* full Inuit (all grandparents
 123 were Greenlanders) and *2)* mixed Inuit (at least one grandparent or parent was Greenlander).
 124 Family history of obesity was determined based on whether the participant' parents and/or
 125 siblings were reported obese or severely overweight. The variable was dichotomised as *1)* yes
 126 *any or* (parents, siblings *or both* was regarded obese/overweight) and *2)* none *were*.

127
 128 **Statistical analyses** *We used quadratic*
 129 Spline analyses *were used* to visually investigate the *continuous* relations between birth weight *(response variable)*
 130 and measures of adult adiposity (BMI, WC, FMI, FFMI, VAT and SAT). *Fractional polynomial* *(explanatory variable)*
 131 *regressions were applied because of the advantage of not making any a priori assumption of the*
 132 *shape of the relations. We* *used quadratic splines based on* *calculated* *predicted values for an average person*
 133 with a given set of criteria of the covariates (33 years, full Inuit, born in a town and with a family



implemented

134 history of obesity). The splines were interpolated with three knots for each outcome measure.

135 Tests for linearity confirmed that linear regression models performed as good as the fractional

136 polynomial models.

We tested for linearity by comparing the spline model with a model with linear effect of birth weight

137 The Further, linear regression models were used to assess the effect estimates of associations between

138 birth weight and adiposity measures. To obtain symmetry of residuals Because of skewed distributions in outcome variables, the

140 adiposity measures were all log-transformed, and the beta-coefficients were back transformed

141 and expressed as percentage change per 1 kg change in birth weight. In all models we tested for

142 sex interactions (birth weight · sex), which resulted in significant interactions in models with

143 waist circumference and FFMI. We did, however, choose to stratify all analyses on sex, due to

were stratified

144 biological differences in body composition and fat distribution between genders. Regression

145 analyses were performed in three steps; unadjusted analyses between the different birth size

146 measures and each of the adiposity measures (model 1); multiple linear regression analyses with

147 adjustment for the chosen potential confounders (age, birthplace, ancestry and family history of

148 obesity) (model 2); and lastly analyses with further adjustment for waist circumference in

149 models including VAT and SAT as outcome measures (model 3). The p-values reported are all

150 two-tailed with statistical significance defined as $p < 0.05$.

151 Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SAS, version 9.3 (SAS Institute

152 Inc., Carey, NC, USA).

only?

Hvad er det for nogen?
De er ikke uanset nogen steder?

156 RESULTS

157 Characteristics of the study population

158 Population characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**. The mean age of participants was 33 years
 159 (range 18-61). Men had a greater mean birth weight than women (3415 ± 531 g vs. 3277 ± 569
 160 g) while a total of 89 participants (6 %) had a low birth weight defined as a weight below 2,500
 161 g. Obesity regarded as a BMI > 30 kg/m² was reported among 15 % of men and 19 % of women.
 162 Women showed to have a higher mean fat mass and mean SAT as compared to men, while men
 163 showed to have a higher mean fat-free mass and mean VAT as compared to women.

Comment [PR2]: Muligvis udelades

164 Shape of the associations

166 **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** show the shape of the associations for the continuous relations between
 167 birth weight and the different adiposity outcomes estimated by second ordered piecewise
 168 polynomial models for men and women respectively. The spline analyses in general showed no
 169 indication of non-linear associations, except for a slightly inverse association between birth
 170 weight and VAT for men after further adjustment for waist circumference.

Comment [PR3]: vi har igen diskuteret om splines analyses skal beskrives før eller efter vi præsenterer effekterne fra de multiple regressionsanalyser. Hvad tænker I?

172 Birth weight and body composition

173 The results of multiple linear regression models are shown in **Table 2**. Birth weight was
 174 positively associated with BMI and FMI for both genders after adjustment for potential
 175 confounders (model 2). An ^{difference} increment in birth weight of 1 kg ^{corresponded} resulted in a 13.1 % (95% CI: 3.3;
 176 23.8) ^{higher} increase in FMI for men, and an increase of 9.1 % (95% CI: 2.0; 16.8) for women.

177 Moreover, there was a significant positive association between birth weight and FFMI among
 178 men only with an increase of 3.7 % (95% CI: 2.2; 5.3) in FFMI per 1-kg increment in birth
 179 weight, ^{significantly different from that in women.}

cnv. Hush det er cross-sectional

180

181 **Fat distribution**

182 ~~Results showed that~~ birth weight was positively related to waist circumference indicating an
 183 increased risk of overall abdominal obesity. There was, moreover, a positive association between
 184 birth weight and SAT among men only with an increase of 15.8 % (95% CI: 3.2; 30.1) in SAT
 185 per 1-kg increment in birth weight (model 2). Birth weight was found not to be significantly
 186 associated with VAT among either gender, until after further adjustment for waist circumference
 187 (model 3), which resulted in a significant inverse association between birth weight and VAT for
 188 men with a decrement of -4.1 % (95% CI: -7.3; -0.9) per kg increment in birth weight, *however not different*
from the effect among men

189

190 **DISCUSSION**

191 We aimed to investigate the relations between birth weight and adult body composition and fat
 192 distribution among the Inuit population in Greenland. Our findings showed that birth weight was
 193 positively associated with BMI, WC, FMI and FFMI with generally weaker associations among
 194 women than men. Birth weight was similar *to* positively associated with SAT estimated by
 195 ultrasound, while in contrast there was an inverse association with VAT independently of
 196 abdominal adiposity for men only. *indication of association*

197

198 *Body composition*

199 We found that birth weight was positively associated with BMI and FMI both among men and
 200 women, which emphasises that higher birth weight increases the risk of relative fat mass in
 201 adulthood. Furthermore, we observed that birth weight was more strongly associated with FMI
 202 than with FFMI. This finding contradicts results from other studies reporting positive

Comment [PR4]: skal forkortes

203 associations between birth weight and fat-free mass rather than fat mass (27,28,15,29). For
 204 instance, Singhal *et al* suggested that an increase in birth weight of 1 SD was significantly
 205 associated with an increase of 0.9-1.4 kg (2–3%) in fat-free mass, and not in fat mass, among
 206 adolescents (15). Conversely, the studies further suggested that increased fat-free mass explained
 207 the observed associations between birth weight and BMI. Evidence on the relation between birth
 208 weight and fat mass is, however, not clear.

209 A challenge mentioned in the literature is that absolute measures of lean and fat mass represent,
 210 not only the proportion of compartment, but also body size itself (16). We addressed this
 211 problem by including indexes of fat and fat-free mass in analyses, which are considered as more
 212 appropriate measures because they are adjusted for variability in height (30).

213 To our knowledge, this is the first study conducted in Greenland or in any other Arctic
 214 population, examining birth weight and later development of adult adiposity. An alternative
 215 explanation of the observed associations between birth weight and measures of body
 216 composition is that the impact of fetal growth on the tendency to deposit fat relative to lean mass
 217 is specific for Inuit. ^{The range} Values of body mass varies within and between populations, and is for
 218 instance shown ^{to be} significantly higher in Arctic and Pacific populations, as compared to African,
 219 Asian, Australian and South American populations (16). Moreover, ethnic differences in the
 220 accumulation of fat and lean mass in late gestation has been suggested (31). Furthermore, an
 221 association between the thermal environment at birth and body mass has been suggested in the
 222 literature, pointing at climatic adaptations which might already start during fetal life (32). Hence,
 223 mechanisms of thermogenesis in body weight regulation could partly explain the relation
 224 between birth weight and relative composition of adult fat mass and fat-free mass in Inuit found
 225 in the present study. Consequently, it might be problematic to generalise effects of birth weight

on later body composition between populations due to ethnic differences in tissue development in fetal life.

Fat distribution

Independently of overall abdominal fat we found a statistically significant inverse association between birth weight and VAT among men. Rolfe *et al* found a similar relation for men and women together after adjustment for overall obesity (23). In contrast, studies by McNeely *et al* (22) and Choi *et al* (33) found no associations between birth weight and VAT, whereof McNeely *et al* similar adjusted for overall obesity. Small sample sizes in these studies could have underpowered their ability to find associations. A cohort study by Demerath *et al* measuring VAT and SAT by MRI in adults suggested that infant weight gain, and not birth weight, was positively associated with adult VAT (21). In comparison with these studies, our finding of an inverse association between birth weight and VAT among Inuit men was based on a large sample of adults, giving more statistical power to the analyses. As low birth weight is commonly followed by rapid catch-up growth (34,4,35,36), we cannot determine whether birth weight or postnatal growth is the ^{more important} factor of most importance. With adiposity measured at a single point in adulthood, we were not able to rule out an effect of postnatal growth. A longitudinal study with repeated measures of adiposity from birth to adulthood would help clarify the role of postnatal growth. Nevertheless, some studies found associations between birth weight and adult adiposity independent of postnatal weight gain or rapid catch-up growth (9).

Our findings of positive associations between birth weight and SAT is in contrast to the findings by Rolfe *et al*, where birth size was suggested to have limited influence on SAT. A recent study suggest that the level of SAT among the Inuit population in general is higher compared to other

This effect was not seen among women, but we did not see a different between men and women in this effect, suggesting that the description may be synonymous.

populations, while VAT does not differ from other populations (37). It could be hypothesized that the observed tendency of increased accumulation of SAT is a result of evolutionary adaptations to climate regulating thermogenesis (38). Accordingly, suggested mechanisms possibly influence adaptations in Inuit, in terms of thicker subcutaneous layers, making them more likely to distribute fat subcutaneously because of cold temperatures. It is suggested that specific layers of abdominal SAT correlate favourable with health and disease risk in individuals (39).

255

Except for the association between birth weight and VAT among men, our results did not show an increase in adiposity among individuals with low birth weight. These findings are in agreement with results from a meta-analysis by Schellong *et al* suggesting no indication of U-shaped associations between birth weight and adult overweight (9).

Overall, our results indicate that birth weight influences later development of adult adiposity among Inuit in Greenland. Whether this, as suggested by the fetal origins hypothesis, is a result of a mismatch between nutritional insults in fetal life and a later excess of food, is difficult to determine. Greenland has like many other countries undergone a rapid transition towards a more westernized lifestyle (2), but this pattern might be specific for Greenland and incomparable with changes seen in other developing countries. For instance, the Inuit in Greenland still have a high intake of fish and sea mammals, even though imported foods constitute a large part of the diet today (40). Despite quite consistent evidence for fetal programming as a phenomenon playing a role for later health across populations (ref), the Inuit seem to be protected against some diseases compared to other populations e.g. lower blood pressure and lower cholesterol levels for a given value of overweight compared to Danes (41). These differences in risk profiles and disease

Hvordan påvirker
dette på associationen

BW → Body
size?

However our analysis used
log-transformed
means so
when transformed
back to the original
scale the relationships are curved
(convex).

271 patterns could indicate ethnic-specific pathways by which development of disease is
272 programmed.

273

274 A major strength of this study is that information on birth weight is collected from birth records
275 in contrast to many previous studies using self-report, which could have introduced recall bias.

276 There was no systematic difference in covariates between individuals with traced and non-traced
277 birth records ^{except for} ~~besides in regard to~~ place of birth (town vs. village). However, a recent study shows
278 no association between unhealthy dietary pattern and urbanisation in Greenland (42) or
279 differences in obesity prevalence, between towns and villages (43).

280 Furthermore, the large number of participants with fat distribution measured by ultrasound
281 provides another strength of this study, especially in a logistic ^{ally} challenging context like

282 Greenland. The relation between birth size and intra-abdominal fat distribution measured by
283 ultrasound has to our knowledge only previously been studied by Rolfe *et al* examining 1,092
284 adults in the UK (23).

285 The use of splines to ^{and test} ~~examine~~ the shape of the associations in the current study made it possible
286 for us to ^{explore} ~~confirm~~ non-linear relations between birth weight and adult adiposity. While studies
287 consistently have found low birth weight to be associated with increased risk of a range of
288 diseases like type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (ref), evidence on the shape of the
289 association between birth weight and adult adiposity is more unclear (9,17). Our findings
290 suggested no relation between low birth and overall adiposity except with VAT after adjustment
291 for waist circumference. Spline analyses are regarded a valuable tool for future studies to clarify
292 potential ~~linear and~~ non-linear associations between birth size and later disease.

293

I mean random?

After the log-transformation, the data should be non-linear associated with birth weight and thus allowed the simple reporting as in table 2.

Limitations

15

294 ~~We acknowledge that there are some limitations in our study.~~ As a consequence of unavailable
295 information on gestational age we were not able to differentiate between infants born preterm
296 and IUGR infants. Gestational age could therefore confound our findings, however, a number of
297 studies adjusting for gestational age, suggest that it only have limited impact on the association
298 between birth size and later adiposity (28,16,9,44,45). Moreover, the use of birth weight as a
299 proxy of fetal growth can be problematic, as fetal growth may not be reflected in birth weight,
300 and maternal nutrition does not equal fetal nutrition (46). Studies with more accurate measures to
301 detect fetal growth could help elucidate the ~~causal~~ relation *with ~~the~~ IUGR.*

Conclusion and further research

- 304 - The inverse association with VAT among men have implications for investigating the
305 relation between birth weight and glucose outcomes in Greenland
- 306 - ~~More large-scale studies using accurate measures to assess abdominal fat tissues.~~

307 *This is definition, we dont need any more research!*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTs

309
310 Jeg samner lidt om effekten af log-transformation.
specielt sat i relation til hvad anden kan
gøre - det kan være en indikation af at I
har valgt den rigtige og letforståelige måde at
rapportere på.

311 REFERENCES

- 312 1. Jørgensen ME, Bjerregaard P, Kjærgaard JJ, Borch-Johnsen K. High prevalence of markers
313 of coronary heart disease among Greenland Inuit. *Atherosclerosis*. Februar
314 2008;196(2):772–8.
- 315 2. Jørgensen ME, Borch-Johnsen K, Witte DR, Bjerregaard P. Diabetes in Greenland and its
316 relationship with urbanization. *Diabet Med*. 2012;29(6):755–60.
- 317 3. Hu FB. Globalization of diabetes: the role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. *Diabetes Care*. Juni
318 2011;34(6):1249–57.
- 319 4. Cottrell EC, Ozanne SE. Early life programming of obesity and metabolic disease. *Physiol*
320 *Behav*. April 2008;94(1):17–28.
- 321 5. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA. Developmental Plasticity and the Developmental Origins of
322 Health and Disease. I: Newnham JP, Ross MG, redaktører. *Early Life Orig Hum Heal Dis*.
323 Basel: Karger; 2009.
- 324 6. Hales CN, Barker DJ. Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: the thrifty
325 phenotype hypothesis. *Diabetologia*. Juli 1992;35(7):595–601.
- 326 7. Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Phipps K, Clark PM. Type 2 (non-insulin-
327 dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to
328 reduced fetal growth. *Diabetologia*. Januar 1993;36(1):62–7.
- 329 8. Ravelli AC, van Der Meulen JH, Osmond C, Barker DJ, Bleker OP. Obesity at the age of
330 50 y in men and women exposed to famine prenatally. *Am J Clin Nutr*. November
331 1999;70(5):811–6.
- 332 9. Schellong K, Schulz S, Harder T, Plagemann A. Birth weight and long-term overweight
333 risk: systematic review and a meta-analysis including 643,902 persons from 66 studies and
334 26 countries globally. *PloS One*. 2012;7(10):e47776.
- 335 10. Wells JCK. *The Evolutionary Biology of Human Body Fatness: Thrift and Control*.
336 Cambridge University Press; 2010.
- 337 11. Kensara OA, Wootton SA, Phillips DI, Patel M, Jackson AA, Elia M. Fetal programming of
338 body composition: relation between birth weight and body composition measured with
339 dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and anthropometric methods in older Englishmen. *Am J*
340 *Clin Nutr*. November 2005;82(5):980–7.
- 341 12. Loos RJ, Beunen G, Fagard R, Derom C, Vlietinck R. Birth weight and body composition
342 in young adult men--a prospective twin study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord J Int Assoc*
343 *Study Obes*. Oktober 2001;25(10):1537–45.

P value for H₀: Linear association.

21

Table 2 Associations between birth weight and measures of adiposity stratified by sex

	% Increment per kg increment in birth weight (95% CI)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Men			
BMI (kg/m ²)	5.0 (2.6 ; 7.5) *	5.1 (2.7 ; 7.6) *	
Waist circumference (cm)	3.8 (1.8 ; 5.8) *	4.0 (2.1 ; 5.9) *	
Fat mass index (kg/m ²)	13.6 (3.0 ; 25.2) *	13.1 (3.3 ; 23.8) *	
Fat free mass index (kg/m ²)	3.8 (2.2 ; 5.3) *	3.7 (2.2 ; 5.3) *	
Visceral Adipose tissue (cm)	3.8 (-1.5 ; 9.4)	3.2 (-1.8 ; 8.4)	-4.1 (-7.3 ; -0.9) *
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm)	17.4 (4.4 ; 32.1) *	15.8 (3.2 ; 30.0) *	-1.5 (-9.5 ; 7.3)
Women			
BMI (kg/m ²)	2.8 (0.5 ; 5.2) *	2.4 (0.2 ; 4.7) *	
Waist circumference (cm)	1.3 (-0.4 ; 3.1)	0.9 (-0.8 ; 2.6)	
Fat mass index (kg/m ²)	11.2 (3.7 ; 19.3) *	9.1 (2.0 ; 16.8) *	
Fat free mass index (kg/m ²)	0.2 (-1.1 ; 1.5)	0.2 (-1.1 ; 1.5)	
Visceral Adipose tissue (cm)	4.4 (0.1 ; 8.9) *	3.2 (-0.8 ; 7.3)	0.8 (-2.2 ; 3.8)
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm)	8.3 (0.8 ; 16.4) *	7.4 (-0.1 ; 15.4)	3.5 (-1.3 ; 8.5)

Model 1: Unadjusted model. Model 2: Adjusted for age, birthplace, ancestry and family history

of obesity. Model 3: Additional adjusted for waist circumference. * P < 0.05

447 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

448

449 **Figure 1** Relations between birth weight and adiposity outcomes for men. The curves show
 450 predicted values (thick lines) estimated for a person aged 33 years old, being full Inuit, born in a
 451 town, and reported family history of obesity. Full thin lines show the 95 % CI and dotted lines
 452 show the 95 % prediction interval.

453

454 **Figure 2** Relations between birth weight and adult adiposity outcomes for women. The curves
 455 show predicted values (thick lines) estimated for a person aged 33 years old, being full Inuit,
 456 born in a town, and reported family history of obesity. Full thin lines show the 95 % CI and
 457 dotted lines show the 95 % prediction interval.

458

Comment [PR5]: Figurerne skal rettes til i layout

no??