

The Danish National Diabetes Register (NDR)

Bendix Carstensen

Steno Diabetes Center

& Department of Biostatistics, University of Copenhagen

bxc@steno.dk <http://BendixCarstensen.com>

Data processing in Health Care

Copenhagen, December 2014

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

Health care surveillance

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

- ▶ Keep track of diabetes patients

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

- ▶ Keep track of diabetes patients
- ▶ Predictions of likely future developments

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

- ▶ Keep track of diabetes patients
- ▶ Predictions of likely future developments
- ▶ Match patients to treatment indicators (GPs)

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

- ▶ Keep track of diabetes patients
- ▶ Predictions of likely future developments
- ▶ Match patients to treatment indicators (GPs)
- ▶ ...improve accuracy of treatment information

Background for the NDR

Population surveillance

- ▶ Monitor and describe:
 - ▶ Prevalence (no. and %)
 - ▶ Incidence (no. and rates)
 - ▶ Mortality and SMR

Health care surveillance

- ▶ Keep track of diabetes patients
- ▶ Predictions of likely future developments
- ▶ Match patients to treatment indicators (GPs)
- ▶ ... improve accuracy of treatment information

Results up to 31.12.2006 reported in:

Carstensen *et al.*: The Danish National Diabetes Register: Trends in incidence, prevalence and mortality, *Diabetologia*, 2008.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.
 - ▶ National Health Insurance Service Registry
 - all services provided in the NHS.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.
 - ▶ National Health Insurance Service Registry
 - all services provided in the NHS.
 - ▶ Register of Medicinal Product Statistics
 - all prescriptions taken out at pharmacies.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.
 - ▶ National Health Insurance Service Registry
 - all services provided in the NHS.
 - ▶ Register of Medicinal Product Statistics
 - all prescriptions taken out at pharmacies.
- ▶ Linked to mortality and migration data from the Central Person Register.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.
 - ▶ National Health Insurance Service Registry
 - all services provided in the NHS.
 - ▶ Register of Medicinal Product Statistics
 - all prescriptions taken out at pharmacies.
- ▶ Linked to mortality and migration data from the Central Person Register.
- ▶ All records are CPR-identified, for linkage purposes.

Construction of the register

- ▶ Based on existing registers in Denmark:
 - ▶ National Patient Register
 - all hospital and outpatient clinic contacts.
 - ▶ National Health Insurance Service Registry
 - all services provided in the NHS.
 - ▶ Register of Medicinal Product Statistics
 - all prescriptions taken out at pharmacies.
- ▶ Linked to mortality and migration data from the Central Person Register.
- ▶ All records are CPR-identified, for linkage purposes.
- ▶ Inclusion start at 1.1.1990.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.
- ▶ Gestational diabetes excluded. A diagnosis of GDM precludes inclusion for a period of 1 year.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.
- ▶ Gestational diabetes excluded. A diagnosis of GDM precludes inclusion for a period of 1 year.
- ▶ Foot-therapy for diabetics recorded in NHISR.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.
- ▶ Gestational diabetes excluded. A diagnosis of GDM precludes inclusion for a period of 1 year.
- ▶ Foot-therapy for diabetics recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ 5 blood-glucose measurements within 1 year recorded in NHISR.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.
- ▶ Gestational diabetes excluded. A diagnosis of GDM precludes inclusion for a period of 1 year.
- ▶ Foot-therapy for diabetics recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ 5 blood-glucose measurements within 1 year recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ 2 blood-glucose measurements per year in 5 consecutive years recorded in NHISR.

Inclusion criteria for the register

- ▶ Diagnosis of DM in NPR.
- ▶ Gestational diabetes excluded. A diagnosis of GDM precludes inclusion for a period of 1 year.
- ▶ Foot-therapy for diabetics recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ 5 blood-glucose measurements within 1 year recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ 2 blood-glucose measurements per year in 5 consecutive years recorded in NHISR.
- ▶ Prescription on insulin or oral antidiabetics in Register of Medicinal Product Statistics.
Metformin alone in women aged 20–39 excluded (PCOS).

Variables in the NDR


- ▶ D_FODDTO - date of birth
- ▶ C_SEX - sex
- ▶ D_INKLDTO - date of inclusion
- ▶ C_INKLAARSAG - criterion first met
- ▶ D_DODSDTO - date of death
- ▶ D_LPR - first DM diagnosis in LPR
- ▶ D_FODT - first date of chiropody
- ▶ D_BLOD2I5 - first date of 2 BG / 5y
- ▶ D_BLOD5I1 - first date of 5 BG / 1y
- ▶ D_OAD - date of 2nd OAD purchase
- ▶ D_INS - date of 2nd insulin purchase
- ▶ V_PID - person-id



Random sample from NDR



| D_FODDTP | C_SEX | D_INKLDT0 | C_INKLAAR | D_DODSDTP | D_LPR | D_FODT | D_BLOD2I5 |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 09NOV1935 | K | 12OCT2009 | oad | . | . | . | . |
| 11SEP1919 | M | 19APR1990 | lpr | 22MAY1992 | 19APR1990 | . | . |
| 12JUN1923 | K | 03JUN1998 | blod5i1 | 22FEB2008 | . | . | . |
| 18MAR1936 | M | 18APR2001 | blod5i1 | . | 06JUN2007 | 23MAY2007 | . |
| 12AUG1959 | K | 08OCT2008 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | . |
| 24DEC1941 | M | 16MAR2005 | blod5i1 | 24FEB2007 | . | . | . |
| 03JUL1944 | M | 09JAN2003 | oad | . | . | . | . |
| 22JAN1964 | K | 22JAN1997 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | . |
| 29MAR1941 | K | 01OCT2009 | lpr | . | 01OCT2009 | . | . |
| 01JUN1949 | M | 06OCT2005 | oad | . | . | . | . |
| 15AUG1962 | M | 29SEP2009 | oad | . | . | . | . |
| 02APR1949 | K | 18AUG2004 | blod5i1 | . | 21JAN2009 | 19MAR2008 | 23APR2008 |
| 21JUL1931 | K | 14MAY2003 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | . |
| 08OCT1901 | K | 08AUG1992 | lpr | 20DEC1993 | 08AUG1992 | . | . |
| 19APR1913 | K | 23JAN1991 | fodt | 29AUG1992 | . | 23JAN1991 | . |
| 09MAR1913 | K | 03APR1998 | oad | 20MAY1999 | . | . | . |
| 15APR1947 | M | 24APR2001 | oad | . | 21MAY2001 | . | . |
| 12DEC1940 | K | 16JUL2002 | lpr | . | 16JUL2002 | . | . |
| 31DEC1916 | M | 24MAY1991 | lpr | 28JUN1991 | 24MAY1991 | . | . |
| 21JUN1919 | K | 16FEB1992 | lpr | 15NOV1993 | 16FEB1992 | 20JAN1993 | . |
| 31DEC1944 | K | 05OCT1993 | lpr | . | 05OCT1993 | 21APR2004 | . |
| 30JUN1916 | K | 01FEB2006 | blod5i1 | 18MAR2009 | . | . | . |
| 16OCT1971 | K | 08DEC2004 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | . |
| 16MAY1965 | K | 25MAY2005 | blod5i1 | . | 22MAY2006 | . | . |
| 06AUG1923 | K | 28OCT1998 | blod5i1 | 01APR2004 | . | . | . |
| 26JAN1932 | M | 20FEB2008 | blod5i1 | . | . | 21MAY2008 | . |
| 16JUN1932 | M | 25FEB1998 | lpr | 24APR2006 | 25FEB1998 | 18NOV1998 | 04AUG2004 |
| 15FEB1914 | M | 22JUL1992 | blod5i1 | 17FEB1993 | . | . | . |
| 05MAR1957 | M | 11AUG2004 | blod5i1 | . | 27AUG2004 | . | . |
| 17OCT1948 | M | 14MAY2008 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | . |

Random sample from NDR

| D_INKLDTO | C_INKLAAR | D_LPR | D_FODT | D_BLOD2I5 | D_BLOD5I1 | D_OAD | D_INS |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 12OCT2009 | oad | . | . | . | . | 12OCT2009 | . |
| 19APR1990 | lpr | 19APR1990 | . | . | 04JUL1990 | . | . |
| 03JUN1998 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 03JUN1998 | . | . |
| 18APR2001 | blod5i1 | 06JUN2007 | 23MAY2007 | . | 18APR2001 | 01JUN2001 | . |
| 08OCT2008 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 08OCT2008 | . | . |
| 16MAR2005 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 16MAR2005 | . | . |
| 09JAN2003 | oad | . | . | . | 12DEC2007 | 09JAN2003 | . |
| 22JAN1997 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 22JAN1997 | . | . |
| 01OCT2009 | lpr | 01OCT2009 | . | . | . | 22OCT2009 | . |
| 06OCT2005 | oad | . | . | . | 11JAN2006 | 06OCT2005 | . |
| 29SEP2009 | oad | . | . | . | . | 29SEP2009 | . |
| 18AUG2004 | blod5i1 | 21JAN2009 | 19MAR2008 | 23APR2008 | 18AUG2004 | 08SEP2007 | . |
| 14MAY2003 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 14MAY2003 | . | . |
| 08AUG1992 | lpr | 08AUG1992 | . | . | . | . | . |
| 23JAN1991 | fodt | . | 23JAN1991 | . | . | . | . |
| 03APR1998 | oad | . | . | . | . | 03APR1998 | . |
| 24APR2001 | oad | 21MAY2001 | . | . | . | 24APR2001 | . |
| 16JUL2002 | lpr | 16JUL2002 | . | . | . | 13JAN2006 | 17JAN2006 |
| 24MAY1991 | lpr | 24MAY1991 | . | . | . | . | . |
| 16FEB1992 | lpr | 16FEB1992 | 20JAN1993 | . | 17JUN1992 | . | . |
| 05OCT1993 | lpr | 05OCT1993 | 21APR2004 | . | . | 05NOV1994 | . |
| 01FEB2006 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 01FEB2006 | . | . |
| 08DEC2004 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 08DEC2004 | . | . |
| 25MAY2005 | blod5i1 | 22MAY2006 | . | . | 25MAY2005 | 23NOV2005 | . |
| 28OCT1998 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 28OCT1998 | . | . |
| 20FEB2008 | blod5i1 | . | 21MAY2008 | . | 20FEB2008 | 05MAR2008 | . |
| 25FEB1998 | lpr | 25FEB1998 | 18NOV1998 | 04AUG2004 | 27SEP2000 | 03MAR2000 | 30JUL2002 |
| 22JUL1992 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 22JUL1992 | . | . |
| 11AUG2004 | blod5i1 | 27AUG2004 | . | . | 11AUG2004 | 11SEP2004 | . |
| 14MAY2008 | blod5i1 | . | . | . | 14MAY2008 | . | . |









Methods: Incidence

- ▶ New cases tabulated by age and date of diagnosis (1995-2007) and date of birth, in 1-year classes.




Methods: Incidence

- ▶ New cases tabulated by age and date of diagnosis (1995-2007) and date of birth, in 1-year classes.
- ▶ Corresponding person-years figures from Statistics Denmark — person-years in the diabetes register subtracted.



Methods: Incidence


- ▶ New cases tabulated by age and date of diagnosis (1995-2007) and date of birth, in 1-year classes.
- ▶ Corresponding person-years figures from Statistics Denmark — person-years in the diabetes register subtracted.
- ▶ Incidence rates analysed by Poisson-regression with smooth parametric terms in age and date of diagnosis, using log-person-years as offset.




Digression: Lexis diagram



Wilhelm Lexis
(1837–1914)
German demographer,
statistician and
economist.



| New cases in NDR | 2006 | | | 2011 | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Year | M | F | All | M | F |
| ≤ 1989 | 1,480 | 1,310 | 2,790 | 1,514 | 1,330 | 2,844 |
| 1990 | 21,347 | 24,738 | 46,085 | 21,434 | 24,775 | 46,209 |
| 1991 | 10,681 | 9,987 | 20,668 | 10,763 | 10,055 | 20,818 |
| 1992 | 8,554 | 7,855 | 16,409 | 8,463 | 7,786 | 16,249 |
| 1993 | 9,165 | 7,639 | 16,804 | 9,196 | 7,642 | 16,838 |
| 1994 | 12,103 | 10,733 | 22,836 | 11,993 | 10,688 | 22,681 |
| 1995 | 7,745 | 7,148 | 14,893 | 7,756 | 7,150 | 14,906 |
| 1996 | 8,015 | 7,388 | 15,403 | 8,016 | 7,388 | 15,404 |
| 1997 | 7,923 | 7,528 | 15,451 | 7,928 | 7,533 | 15,461 |
| 1998 | 8,800 | 8,039 | 16,839 | 8,819 | 8,048 | 16,867 |
| 1999 | 9,295 | 8,537 | 17,832 | 9,314 | 8,565 | 17,879 |
| 2000 | 9,614 | 8,881 | 18,495 | 9,620 | 8,883 | 18,503 |
| 2001 | 10,181 | 9,468 | 19,649 | 10,215 | 9,481 | 19,696 |
| 2002 | 11,123 | 10,745 | 21,868 | 11,178 | 10,790 | 21,968 |
| 2003 | 12,385 | 11,378 | 23,763 | 12,370 | 11,361 | 23,731 |
| 2004 | 12,465 | 11,465 | 23,930 | 12,472 | 11,477 | 23,949 |
| 2005 | 11,607 | 10,535 | 22,142 | 11,619 | 10,582 | 22,201 |
| 2006 | 12,007 | 10,865 | 22,872 | 12,094 | 10,920 | 23,014 |
| 2007 | | | | 12,719 | 11,783 | 24,502 |
| 2008 | | | | 14,005 | 12,663 | 26,668 |
| 2009 | | | | 14,298 | 12,360 | 26,658 |
| 2010 | | | | 14,974 | 12,818 | 27,792 |
| 2011 | | | | 17,080 | 15,314 | 32,394 |
| 1995–2006 | 121,160 | 111,977 | 233,137 | 121,401 | 112,178 | 233,579 |
| 1995–2011 | | | | 194,477 | 177,116 | 371,593 |
| Total | 184,490 | 174,239 | 358,729 | 257,840 | 239,392 | 497,232 |



Incidence summary

- ▶ Women with gestational diabetes are closer monitored (?), hence the increased incidence rates in ages 20–35 ($RR \approx 1.5$).

Incidence summary

- ▶ Women with gestational diabetes are closer monitored (?), hence the increased incidence rates in ages 20–35 ($RR \approx 1.5$).
- ▶ Incidence rates peak in ages 70–85: 1.2%/year for men, 1.2%/year for women in 2005.

Incidence summary

- ▶ Women with gestational diabetes are closer monitored (?), hence the increased incidence rates in ages 20–35 ($RR \approx 1.5$).
- ▶ Incidence rates peak in ages 70–85: 1.2%/year for men, 1.2%/year for women in 2005.
- ▶ Annual increase in incidence rates over the period 1995–2004 was 5.6%, after 2004 almost flat

Glucose criteria

- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.

Glucose criteria

- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.
- ▶ Omitting the glucose criteria:

Glucose criteria

- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.
- ▶ Omitting the glucose criteria:
 - ▶ Some are removed from the register

Glucose criteria


- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.
- ▶ Omitting the glucose criteria:
 - ▶ Some are removed from the register
 - ▶ Some have a later diagnosis (meeting a different criterion).

Glucose criteria

- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.
- ▶ Omitting the glucose criteria:
 - ▶ Some are removed from the register
 - ▶ Some have a later diagnosis (meeting a different criterion).
- ▶ The new diagnostic criteria based on HbA1c makes the blood glucose criteria even more uncertain.

Glucose criteria

- ▶ Women who have a glucose tolerance test triggers typically 6 blood glucose measurements.
- ▶ Omitting the glucose criteria:
 - ▶ Some are removed from the register
 - ▶ Some have a later diagnosis (meeting a different criterion).
- ▶ The new diagnostic criteria based on HbA1c makes the blood glucose criteria even more uncertain.
- ▶ No consensus on how to proceed.



Methods: Prevalence

- ▶ Prevalent cases by 1 Jan 1995, . . . , 2010 tabulated by sex and 1-year age.

Methods: Prevalence

- ▶ Prevalent cases by 1 Jan 1995, . . . , 2010 tabulated by sex and 1-year age.
- ▶ Corresponding population figures from Statistics Denmark.


Methods: Prevalence

- ▶ Prevalent cases by 1 Jan 1995, . . . , 2010 tabulated by sex and 1-year age.
- ▶ Corresponding population figures from Statistics Denmark.
- ▶ Prevalence analysed by a binomial model with log-link and the population size as denominator. Separate parametric terms used for each sex and date.


Prevalence of diabetes

| 1 January | No. patients | | | Prevalence (%) | | |
|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|----------------|------|------|
| | M | F | All | M | F | All |
| 1995 | 49,438 | 49,126 | 98,564 | 1.92 | 1.86 | 1.89 |
| 1996 | 53,443 | 52,631 | 106,074 | 2.06 | 1.98 | 2.02 |
| 1997 | 57,739 | 56,551 | 114,290 | 2.22 | 2.12 | 2.17 |
| 1998 | 61,832 | 60,522 | 122,354 | 2.36 | 2.26 | 2.31 |
| 1999 | 66,746 | 64,974 | 131,720 | 2.54 | 2.42 | 2.48 |
| 2000 | 71,798 | 69,692 | 141,490 | 2.73 | 2.59 | 2.65 |
| 2001 | 77,120 | 74,570 | 151,690 | 2.92 | 2.76 | 2.84 |
| 2002 | 82,914 | 79,895 | 162,809 | 3.12 | 2.94 | 3.03 |
| 2003 | 89,291 | 86,364 | 175,655 | 3.35 | 3.17 | 3.26 |
| 2004 | 96,706 | 93,199 | 189,905 | 3.62 | 3.42 | 3.52 |
| 2005 | 104,149 | 100,227 | 204,376 | 3.89 | 3.67 | 3.78 |
| 2006 | 110,581 | 106,028 | 216,609 | 4.12 | 3.87 | 3.99 |
| 2007 | 117,328 | 112,018 | 229,346 | 4.35 | 4.07 | 4.21 |
| 2008 | 124,501 | 118,726 | 243,227 | 4.59 | 4.30 | 4.44 |
| 2009 | 132,847 | 126,295 | 259,142 | 4.86 | 4.54 | 4.70 |
| 2010 | 140,940 | 133,318 | 274,258 | 5.14 | 4.78 | 4.96 |
| 2011 | 149,702 | 140,507 | 290,209 | 5.43 | 5.01 | 5.22 |
| 2012 | 160,352 | 150,309 | 310,661 | 5.80 | 5.34 | 5.57 |


Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution



Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution

2007

117,328 N 112,018




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution

2009


132,847 N 126,295




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution




Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution



Prevalence of diabetes — age distribution



Prevalence of diabetes — % by age



Methods: Mortality and SMR

- ▶ Deaths and person-years of follow-up among diabetics tabulated by age and period at follow-up in 1-year classes.

Methods: Mortality and SMR

- ▶ Deaths and person-years of follow-up among diabetics tabulated by age and period at follow-up in 1-year classes.
- ▶ Corresponding mortality figures from Statistics Denmark. Deaths and person-years from the diabetes cohort subtracted.

Methods: Mortality and SMR

- ▶ Deaths and person-years of follow-up among diabetics tabulated by age and period at follow-up in 1-year classes.
- ▶ Corresponding mortality figures from Statistics Denmark. Deaths and person-years from the diabetes cohort subtracted.
- ▶ Mortality analysed by Poisson-regression of deaths with smooth parametric terms for current age, current date and current disease duration, using log-person-years as offset.


Methods: Mortality and SMR

- ▶ Deaths and person-years of follow-up among diabetics tabulated by age and period at follow-up in 1-year classes.
- ▶ Corresponding mortality figures from Statistics Denmark. Deaths and person-years from the diabetes cohort subtracted.
- ▶ Mortality analysed by Poisson-regression of deaths with smooth parametric terms for current age, current date and current disease duration, using log-person-years as offset.
- ▶ SMR analysed using dataset amended by mortality among non-DM persons, using interaction between DM / non-DM and age / duration.

Number of deaths — imbalance


| Year | New cases | Deaths | Surplus |
|------|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1995 | 14,874 | 7,377 | 7,497 |
| 1996 | 15,385 | 7,147 | 8,238 |
| 1997 | 15,438 | 7,366 | 8,072 |
| 1998 | 16,842 | 7,457 | 9,385 |
| 1999 | 17,853 | 8,058 | 9,795 |
| 2000 | 18,480 | 8,242 | 10,238 |
| 2001 | 19,675 | 8,521 | 11,154 |
| 2002 | 21,948 | 9,072 | 12,876 |
| 2003 | 23,712 | 9,427 | 14,285 |
| 2004 | 23,927 | 9,421 | 14,506 |
| 2005 | 22,186 | 9,879 | 12,307 |
| 2006 | 23,001 | 10,227 | 12,774 |
| 2007 | 24,477 | 10,544 | 13,933 |
| 2008 | 26,648 | 10,647 | 16,001 |
| 2009 | 26,639 | 11,455 | 15,184 |
| 2010 | 27,770 | 11,767 | 16,003 |
| 2011 | 32,374 | 11,782 | 20,592 |

Incident cases




Number of deaths — imbalance


| Year | New cases | Deaths | Surplus |
|------|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1995 | 14,874 | 7,377 | 7,497 |
| 1996 | 15,385 | 7,147 | 8,238 |
| 1997 | 15,438 | 7,366 | 8,072 |
| 1998 | 16,842 | 7,457 | 9,385 |
| 1999 | 17,853 | 8,058 | 9,795 |
| 2000 | 18,480 | 8,242 | 10,238 |
| 2001 | 19,675 | 8,521 | 11,154 |
| 2002 | 21,948 | 9,072 | 12,876 |
| 2003 | 23,712 | 9,427 | 14,285 |
| 2004 | 23,927 | 9,421 | 14,506 |
| 2005 | 22,186 | 9,879 | 12,307 |
| 2006 | 23,001 | 10,227 | 12,774 |
| 2007 | 24,477 | 10,544 | 13,933 |
| 2008 | 26,648 | 10,647 | 16,001 |
| 2009 | 26,639 | 11,455 | 15,184 |
| 2010 | 27,770 | 11,767 | 16,003 |
| 2011 | 32,374 | 11,782 | 20,592 |



Mortality among DM patients



SMR relative to persons without DM



Mortality summary

Mortality summary

- ▶ Mortality in DM patients increases exponentially by age.

Mortality summary

- ▶ Mortality in DM patients increases exponentially by age.
- ▶ Decreasing by time: 4.0/3.8%/year
(non-DM: 2.8/2.4)

Mortality summary

- ▶ Mortality in DM patients increases exponentially by age.
- ▶ Decreasing by time: 4.0/3.8%/year (non-DM: 2.8/2.4)
- ▶ SMR is the same for men and women.

Mortality summary

- ▶ Mortality in DM patients increases exponentially by age.
- ▶ Decreasing by time: 4.0/3.8%/year (non-DM: 2.8/2.4)
- ▶ SMR is the same for men and women.
- ▶ SMR is 3 at age 45, 1 at 85

Summary: Danish Diabetes Register

Note: a register of “administrative” diabetes:

Summary: Danish Diabetes Register

Note: a register of “administrative” diabetes:

- ▶ Population based 1995–2011 (17 years)
- ▶ Coverage 100%

Summary: Danish Diabetes Register

Note: a register of “administrative” diabetes:

- ▶ Population based 1995–2011 (17 years)
- ▶ Coverage 100%
- ▶ No selection bias at individual level
- ▶ Imprecise diagnostic criteria

Summary: Danish Diabetes Register

Note: a register of “administrative” diabetes:

- ▶ Population based 1995–2011 (17 years)
- ▶ Coverage 100%
- ▶ No selection bias at individual level
- ▶ Imprecise diagnostic criteria
- ▶ Incidence rates increasing in general
- ▶ Mortality and SMR decrease
- ▶ Prevalence increases

Cancer among diabetes patients

Cancer among diabetes patients

- ▶ Merge the Diabetes Register with the Danish Cancer Register.

Cancer among diabetes patients

- ▶ Merge the Diabetes Register with the Danish Cancer Register.
- ▶ Compute the RR of cancer between persons with and without diabetes.

Cancer among diabetes patients

- ▶ Merge the Diabetes Register with the Danish Cancer Register.
- ▶ Compute the RR of cancer between persons with and without diabetes.
- ▶ 25 cancer sites, 2 sexes, age-interaction, duration.

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.
- ▶ and how rates vary relative to the non-DM population with:

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.
- ▶ and how rates vary relative to the non-DM population with:
 - ▶ duration of diabetes

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.
- ▶ and how rates vary relative to the non-DM population with:
 - ▶ duration of diabetes
 - ▶ duration of insulin use

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.
- ▶ and how rates vary relative to the non-DM population with:
 - ▶ duration of diabetes
 - ▶ duration of insulin use
- ▶ for all types of cancer

Aims

- ▶ Describe cancer incidence rates among diabetes patients in Denmark.
- ▶ and how rates vary relative to the non-DM population with:
 - ▶ duration of diabetes
 - ▶ duration of insulin use
- ▶ for all types of cancer
- ▶ and for specific sites of cancer

Cancer occurrence in Danish diabetic patients: duration and insulin effects

B. Carstensen · D. R. Witte · S. Friis

Received: 5 April 2011 / Accepted: 31 October 2011 / Published online: 27 November 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract

Aims/hypothesis Cancer is more frequent among diabetes patients, but it is unknown how this excess varies with duration of diabetes and insulin use. The aim of this study was to analyse disease data to examine this issue further.


Methods We linked the Danish National Diabetes Register and Cancer Registry and performed a cohort analysis of the entire Danish population by diabetes status, duration of diabetes and insulin use, comparing cancer incidence rates in diabetic patients with the non-diabetic population for the

population decreased from over 2 at diagnosis to 1.15 after 2 years of diabetes duration. The cancer incidence rate ratio was higher among patients using insulin, decreasing from 5 at the start of insulin treatment to about 1.25 after 5 years of insulin use. Among non-insulin users, cancers of the stomach, colorectum, liver, pancreas, lung, corpus uteri, kidney and brain, and lymphomas were elevated. Among insulin users the rate ratio of prostate cancer was decreasing by duration whereas we found higher risk of cancer of the stomach, lung, liver, pancreas and kidney. Breast cancer


Follow-up of the Danish population

Well


Follow-up of the Danish population




Follow-up of the Danish population




Follow-up of the Danish population




Follow-up of the Danish population




Follow-up of the Danish population



Follow-up of the Danish population



Follow-up of the Danish population



Follow-up in the population

Persons are followed 1 Jan 1995 to:

Follow-up in the population

Persons are followed 1 Jan 1995 to:

event: first primary cancer of a given type

Follow-up in the population

Persons are followed 1 Jan 1995 to:

event: first primary cancer of a given type

censoring: ▶ diagnosis of any other primary cancer

Follow-up in the population

Persons are followed 1 Jan 1995 to:

event: first primary cancer of a given type

censoring:

- ▶ diagnosis of any other primary cancer
- ▶ death

Follow-up in the population


Persons are followed 1 Jan 1995 to:

event: first primary cancer of a given type

censoring:

- ▶ diagnosis of any other primary cancer
- ▶ death
- ▶ 31 Dec 2009

DM prevalent at 1.1.1995 excluded




Duration model

$$\text{rate} = f(\text{age}) \times g(\text{date of FU}) \times h(\text{date of birth})$$


Duration model

$$\text{rate} = f(\text{age}) \times g(\text{date of FU}) \times h(\text{date of birth})$$




Duration model


$$\text{rate} = f(\text{age}) \times g(\text{date of FU}) \times h(\text{date of birth}) \\ \times t(\text{DM-duration})$$





Duration model


$$\text{rate} = f(\text{age}) \times g(\text{date of FU}) \times h(\text{date of birth}) \\ \times t(\text{DM-duration}) \\ \times s(\text{Ins-duration})$$

















All malignant neoplasms




Colorectal cancer



Lung, bronchus and pleura





The Epidemiology of Diabetes and Cancer

Bendix Carstensen · Marit Eika Jørgensen · Søren Friis


© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014





Abstract The literature on cancer occurrence in persons with diabetes has almost invariably been concerned with relative measures. In this paper, we briefly review this, but the aim is to quantify the absolute occurrence of diabetes and cancer in the population in order to give a fuller picture, which also includes the competing mortality risk. Overall, we find that some 35 % of the population will have a diagnosis of diabetes in their lifetime, 44 % a diagnosis of cancer, and about 15 % will have both diagnoses. The impact of differing mortality between persons with and without diabetes is illustrated by the fact that a person without diabetes at age 50 has a smaller lifetime risk of cancer than a person aged 50 with diabetes. Thus, the differences in cancer occurrence between persons


Introduction

The link between diabetes and cancer occurrence is well established, and comprehensive population-based studies have demonstrated that the association relates to both cancer incidence and mortality [1–3]. Recently, an increasing number of studies have examined cancer incidence among patients with diabetes, particularly following the report in 2009 of a potential association between the insulin analog glargine and cancer risk [4–7]. The majority of the studies have focused on comparisons of cancer incidence among diabetes patients using different antidiabetic regimens. However, these studies are prone to bias due to confounding by indication, as illus-

Demography: Life time risk







Demography: Cumulative risk

